

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/777,811 | 02/12/2004 | Allan Alexander | 81089577 | 5839 |
| 22844 | 7590 06/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | BAL TECHNOLOGI | MAH, CHUCK Y | | |
| | PARKLANE TOWERS ANE BLVD. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DEARBORN | , MI 48126 | 3677 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ; | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Applica | tion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/777, | 811 | ALEXANDER, ALLAN | | | | |
| | | Examin | er . | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Chuck M | 1ah | 3676 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 🏾 | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11)[| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u> . | | | 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-192) | | | | |

the

Application/Control Number: 10/777,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: at page 4, line 8 "aperture 21" should have been "aperture 24", according to the figures.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, it cannot be understood what "an oversized aperture in said body mounting plate" is referring to. The disclosure only addresses that apertures 24 and 22 are of oversized ("slightly larger than the shank of bolt 31"). There is no sufficient information referring to the aperture for bolt 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,811

Art Unit: 3676

5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Venier (5,624,150) in view of Bisbing (5,150,500).

Page 3

'150 discloses a vehicle door having two hinges (see fig. 3). Each hinge has a door mounting plate (10), a pin (7), a hinge plate (5), and attaching means (31, 21, P5A, P4A). '150 does not show a body-mounting plate. '500 teaches a hinge having a hinge plate (28) with concave surface (50), a body plate with a convex surface (32), and adjustable attaching means (42, 52, 44) to enable the hinge to be adjusted easily and accurately to prevent the misalignment of a door. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the hinge of '150 with a concave hinge plate and a convex body-mounting plate as taught by '500 so that the hinge can be adjusted to prevent misalignment of a door.

As to claim 3, the examiner takes Official Notice that forming oversized fastener apertures in a hinge plate for positioning adjustment is well-known and common practice in the art. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form the attaching apertures in the body-mounting plate of '500 with oversized apertures to provide positioning adjustment of the plate.

Note that functional limitation in claim 4 is conditional. As to claims 9 and 13, the convex area (32) is larger than the concave area (50) in order to allow the hinge plate to slide for adjustment (see fig. 4).

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (571)272-7059. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571)272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

CM